

A VOICE OF

# fort Sanders's faith sons



DATE: *May 1987*

VOLUME: VI

NUMBER: 5

KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

All quotations, unless otherwise indicated, are from The URANTIA Book c 1955 and are used by permission. Interpretations & opinions expressed herein are those of the authors & do not necessarily represent those of URANTIA Foundation.

What does  
The U-Book say about **SOCIAL PARASITES**?



*We have never cleansed  
our races of the defective  
and degenerate. The Book  
stresses that we must begin  
to do that.*



On page 593 the Book (telling about a normal planet) states: "This age (post Adamic) usually witnesses the completion of the elimination of the unfit and still further purification of the racial strains; on normal worlds the defective bestial tendencies are very nearly eliminated from the reproducing stocks of the realm".

"The Adamic progeny never amalgamate with the inferior strains of the evolutionary races."

It has been about 4000 years since the end of that age.

Nothing was done during that age or since about this elimination.

As anybody knows, if you're on the wrong road, the longer you travel the more lost you become. I think that is why our 5th epocal revelation emphasizes eugenics so much.

Let's look at some of the things it says about social parasites.

The words themselves are used on page 1580 in the "social attitudes" of Jesus: "He did

And on page 1579 it says: "He quoted with approval, on this afternoon, an old Hebrew saying, 'He who will not work shall not eat'".

And on page 773 we read: "The necessity for labor is man's paramount blessing". So what if a person is so mentally, emotionally, or physically handicapped that he can't hold a job? He is deprived of man's paramount (greatest) blessing. To me that is the ultimate cruelty - to condemn a personality to inhabit a defective body and/or live in a degenerate home.

Also, on page 803 there is more: "No society has progressed very far when it permits idleness or tolerates poverty. But poverty and dependence can never be eliminated if the defective and degenerate stocks are freely supported and permitted to reproduce without restraint." (Notice permits, permitted)

Before a couple can adopt a child they have to prove themselves mentally, emotionally, financially, and chronologically fit. Why can't we impose these requirements on

All quotations, unless otherwise indicated, are from The URANTIA Book c 1955 and are used by permission. Interpretations & opinions expressed herein are those of the authors & do not necessarily represent those of URANTIA Foundation.

# What does The U-Book say about **SOCIAL PARASITES**?

We have never cleansed  
our races of the defective  
and degenerate. The Book  
Stresses that we must begin  
to do that.

On page 593 the Book (telling about a normal planet) states: "This age (post Adamic) usually witnesses the completion of the elimination of the unfit and still further purification of the racial strains; on normal worlds the defective bestial tendencies are very nearly eliminated from the reproducing stocks of the realm".

"The Adamic progeny never amalgamate with the inferior strains of the evolutionary races."

It has been about 4000 years since the end of that age.

Nothing was done during that age or since about this elimination.

As anybody knows, if you're on the wrong road, the longer you travel the more lost you become. I think that is why our 5th epocal revelation emphasizes eugenics so much.

Let's look at some of the things it says about social parasites.

The words themselves are used on page 1580 in the "social attitudes" of Jesus: "He did not teach his apostles that they were to be imposed upon by social parasites or professional alms-seekers".

Also on that page we read: "He made it clear that indiscriminate kindness may be blamed for many social evils". If you've read Charles Murray's book Losing Ground you can see that is just what we've been practicing.

On page 1590 we read; "The Master never said, 'Come unto me all you who are indolent and who are dreamers.' But he did many times say 'Come unto me all you who labor, and I will give you rest - spiritual strength."



And on page 1579 it says: "He quoted with approval, on this afternoon, an old Hebrew saying, 'He who will not work shall not eat'".

And on page 773 we read: "The necessity for labor is man's paramount blessing". So what if a person is so mentally, emotionally, or physically handicapped that he can't hold a job? He is deprived of man's paramount (greatest) blessing. To me that is the ultimate cruelty - to condemn a personality to inhabit a defective body and/or live in a degenerate home.

Also, on page 803 there is more: "No society has progressed very far when it permits idleness or tolerates poverty. But poverty and dependence can never be eliminated if the defective and degenerate stocks are freely supported and permitted to reproduce without restraint." (Notice permits, permitted)

Before a couple can adopt a child they have to prove themselves mentally, emotionally, financially, and chronologically fit. Why can't we impose those same conditions in regard to natural birth on our most obviously unfit and degenerate? NOW.


If we don't, we'll learn the hard way what the "neighboring planet" knows - "These people recognize that when fifty percent of a nation is inferior or defective and possesses the ballot, such a nation is doomed".

H.B.H.




On page 1891A we read: "This episode also demonstrates that Jesus did not look with approval upon the refusal to employ force to protect the majority of any given human group against the unfair and enslaving practices of unjust minorities who may be able to entrench themselves behind political, financial, or ecclesiastical power. Shrewd, wicked, and designing men are not to be permitted to organize themselves for the exploitation and oppression of those who because of their idealism are not disposed to resort to force for self-protection or for the furtherance of their laudable life projects."

And so I feel impelled to reprint the following from a previous newsletter.

H. HUTCHINSON  
1634 HIGHLAND AVE.  
KNOXVILLE, TENN.   
37916

# What Causes



Solzhenitsyn said that in 1919 Russian revolutionary Vladimir I. Lenin "proclaimed a death sentence to the West, and this sentence has never been rescinded."

President John F. Kennedy wrote a book in 1940 entitled *Why England Slept*, which chronicled Great Britain's alarming failure to see the coming of World War II. Sen. Kennedy should read this book.

While Sen. Kennedy leads the assault against nuclear weapons today, there are, of course, no demonstrations against nuclear war in the Soviet Union. This gives totalitarian regimes an edge, which John Kennedy identified as the primary shortcoming of free nations.

"In the first place," he began, "democracy is essentially peace loving; the people don't want to go to war.... The hatred of war is, in this day of modern warfare, a great disadvantage.... The result is that people, because of their hatred of war, will not permit armaments to be built. They are so determined to stay out that they cannot look ahead to the day when they will find occasion to fight."

"We must be prepared to recognize democracy's weaknesses in competition with a totalitarian form of government," continued Kennedy in his book. "We must realize that one is a system geared for peace, the other for war.... It means that in preparing for war today, a democracy may be struck such a knockout blow by a totalitarian form of government. Especially it is complicated by the fact that a democracy's free press gives the speeches of the totalitarian leaders, who state their case in such a 'reasonable' manner that it is hard always to see them as a menace."

When Hitler moved into the Rhineland on March 7, 1936, he proved that well. Already in May 1933 he had given his first "peace" speech, in response to a peace appeal by American President Franklin Roosevelt.

\* Knoxville Journal  
\* \* Human Events

Churchill also observed that "The *Times* and *Daily Herald*... expressed their belief in the sincerity of Hitler's offers of a non-aggressive pact," and that future Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain emphasized to the French foreign minister that British "public opinion would not support us in sanctions of any kind." So it was the peace movement that paved the way to war!

The Senator and his family saw England first-hand nearly engulfed by a war which it should have foreseen but for which it was not prepared. John Kennedy was undoubtedly helped by access to the inner sanctums of the policy-makers, and in fact in August 1939 returned from a visit to Berlin to tell his father that war would break out within a week, which it did. \*\*


Let's deploy SDI now.  
A defense system. If they get it first it's too late!

# and

The last two paragraphs of Section 4, Page 804 tells how "Golden Rulers" can prevent war on a planet...



# What Causes



Solzhenitsyn said that in 1919 Russian revolutionary Vladimir I. Lenin "proclaimed a death sentence to the West, and this sentence has never been rescinded."

President John F. Kennedy wrote a book in 1940 entitled *Why England Slept*, which chronicled Great Britain's alarming failure to see the coming of World War II. Sen. Kennedy should read this book.

While Sen. Kennedy leads the assault against nuclear weapons today, there are, of course, no demonstrations against nuclear war in the Soviet Union. This gives totalitarian regimes an edge, which John Kennedy identified as the primary shortcoming of free nations.

"In the first place," he began, "democracy is essentially peace loving; the people don't want to go to war.... The hatred of war is, in this day of modern warfare, a great disadvantage.... The result is that people, because of their hatred of war, will not permit armaments to be built. They are so determined to stay out that they cannot look ahead to the day when they will find occasion to fight."

"We must be prepared to recognize democracy's weaknesses in competition with a totalitarian form of government," continued Kennedy in his book. "We must realize that one is a system geared for peace, the other for war.... It means that in preparing for war today, a democracy may be struck such a knockout blow by a totalitarian form of government. Especially it is complicated by the fact that a democracy's free press gives the speeches of the totalitarian leaders, who state their case in such a 'reasonable' manner that it is hard always to see them as a menace."

When Hitler moved into the Rhineland on March 7, 1936, he proved that well. Already in May 1933 he had given his first "peace" speech, in response to a peace appeal by American President Franklin Roosevelt.

\* Knoxville Journal  
\* Human Events

Churchill also observed that "The Times and Daily Herald... expressed their belief in the sincerity of Hitler's offers of a non-aggressive pact," and that future Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain emphasized to the French foreign minister that British "public opinion would not support us in sanctions of any kind." So it was the peace movement that paved the way to war!

The Senator and his family saw England first-hand nearly engulfed by a war which it should have foreseen but for which it was not prepared. John Kennedy was undoubtedly helped by access to the inner sanctums of the policy-makers, and in fact in August 1939 returned from a visit to Berlin to tell his father that war would break out within a week, which it did. \*\*


Let's deploy SDI now.  
A defense system. If they get it first it's too late!

# and

The last two paragraphs of Section 4, Page 804 tells how "Golden Rulers" can prevent war on a planet where there are still many of the "baser orders" of humanity. Also 1891, Par. 1

And on Pages 1954 and 1955

Jesus tells us what he - The Prince of Peace - means by Peace.



11/31/81

# TID-BITS

HELEN B HUTCHINSON  
1638 HIGHLAND AVE  
KNOXVILLE TN 37916 MADD

## Attention! All you scientists who read the URANTIA Book!

The Nashville group is getting together a Scientific Symposium for Students of the URANTIA Book, to be held (I think) in 1988.

Would you like to participate? If so, send the following information to: Travis Binion, Rt. 1, Box 55 A, Normandy, Tn. 37660:

1. Your field of expertise
2. Qualifications
3. How long you've been reading the URANTIA Book
4. What would your subject be?

---

Notice: We have been sending the newsletter to a few people who have not personally requested it, but whose names were supplied by friends. Now, if you are one of those and have not communicated with us in any way, shape, or form, we'll take it for granted that you do not wish to receive the newsletter. And you won't.

---

I've noticed that many people who think Jesus said only one thing — "Judge not" — are most likely to fail the test of "By their fruits you shall know them." They have produced only thorns and thistles.

These same people say Jesus approved of publicans and sinners. He ate with them. They don't go on and tell his reason for it. "I came not to call the righteous to repentance, but sinners. The well need not a physician, but they that are sick." (Last quote from the Bible)

---

Would you believe I know some dear, good people who think that evil, sin, and iniquity are non-existent. (I don't know how they explain what they see around them!)

Their reasoning goes something like this: All things are created by the great I AM. He is altogether good, so anything he creates would have to be good.

So far, so good. I agree.

But let's go a bit farther. God decides to create imperfect potential sons and let them grow — evolve — to perfection through experience if they so desire. He gave them free will. To go on to perfection they must learn to love. And that love must be freely given. (After all, who wants his friends to love him because they can't do anything else.)

But if a creature has free will there also exists the possibility that he will pervert — misuse — the good that God has created. That he will do his own will rather than God's.

Is it good to make a creature like that? Yes. Because God did it.

---

M-I: No tapes of our group meetings are available

2. Qualifications
3. How long you've been reading the URANTIA Book
4. What would your subject be?

**Notice:** We have been sending the newsletter to a few people who have not personally requested it, but whose names were supplied by friends. Now, if you are one of those and have not communicated with us in any way, shape, or form, we'll take it for granted that you do not wish to receive the newsletter. And you won't.

I've noticed that many people who think Jesus said only one thing — "Judge not" — are most likely to fail the test of "By their fruits you shall know them." They have produced only thorns and thistles.

These same people say Jesus approved of publicans and sinners. He ate with them. They don't go on and tell his reason for it. "I came not to call the righteous to repentance, but sinners. The well need not a physician, but they that are sick." (Last quote from the Bible)

**Would you believe** I know some dear, good people who think that evil, sin, and iniquity are non-existent. (I don't know how they explain what they see around them!)

Their reasoning goes something like this: All things are created by the great I AM. He is altogether good, so anything he creates would have to be good.

So far, so good. I agree.

But let's go a bit farther. God decides to create imperfect potential sons and let them grow — evolve — to perfection through experience if they so desire. He gave them free will. To go on to perfection they must learn to love. And that love must be freely given. (After all, who wants his friends to love him because they can't do anything else.)

But if a creature has free will there also exists the possibility that he will pervert — misuse — the good that God has created. That he will do his own will rather than God's.

Is it good to make a creature like that? Yes. Because God did it.

**Notice:** No tapes of our group meetings are available. We haven't cleared them with the Foundation.

**An Amazing Discovery:** <sup>Guess what I found when I was working a double crostic!</sup> **This**  
All The Good In Intentions by F.D.R.

Continued dependence upon relief induces a spiritual and moral disintegration fundamentally destructive to the national fibre. To dole out relief in this way is to administer a narcotic, a subtle destroyer, of the human spirit.

(This was the TRANS-O-GRAM in the April 10, 1987 issue of National Review)