







# We are "QUIVERING on the BRINK!"



On page 2082 we find some of the most exciting sentences in the whole Book; "A new and fuller revelation of the religion of Jesus (The U-Book?) is destined to conquer an empire of materialistic secularism and to overthrow a world sway of mechanistic naturalism. Urantia is now quivering on the very brink of one of its most amazing and enthralling epochs of social readjustment, moral quickening, and spiritual enlightenment."

Notice the words I've underlined. It doesn't say the fuller revelation might conquer a materialistic empire — it says it IS DESTINED to do it. There are no ifs and maybes about it! It says Urantia IS NOW on the very brink.

And it calls it a new epoch. An epoch seems to be the time from one Son to another — a dispensation.

Does that mean that we're soon to have another Son? Maybe a Magisterial Son? Many things seem to point that way.



On page 1086 the Book states: "Urantia society can never hope to settle down as in ages past. The social ship has steamed out of the sheltered bays of established tradition and has begun its cruise upon the high seas of evolutionary destiny." And up above that it says: "Mankind is on the march toward a new and unrevealed planetary destiny."



On page 1253 we read: "A Most High observer is empowered, at his discretion, to seize the planetary government in times of grave planetary crises, and it is of record that this has happened thirty-three times in the history of Urantia." (Pages 491 and 1906 seem to tell us two of those times.)

And then on page 1497 it tells us that the evolution of our political sovereignty is now in a critical stage. And on page 1488 it reminds us that "Some day civil rulers will learn that the Most Highs rule in the kingdoms of men" — not the kingdom of God — but of men.

So it seems that exciting times are even now at our doorstep.

We haven't yet had a Magisterial Son. On page 594 it tells us that when a Paradise Avonal comes on a first magisterial mission he always is incarnated. He's not born as a babe, like a Bestowal Son, but maybe just appears like Machiventa Melchizadek did.

It's fun to speculate how and where such a Son would appear.

Maybe in the United Nations Building?

H/B/A.

## ENJOY!

Some beautiful stamps depicting the Fourth Epochal Revelation.



a materialistic empire — it says it IS DESTINED to do it. There are no ifs and maybes about it! It says Urantia IS NOW on the very brink.

And it calls it a new epoch. An epoch seems to be the time from one Son to another — a dispensation.

Does that mean that we're soon to have another Son? Maybe a Magisterial Son? Many things seem to point that way.



On page 1086 the Book states: "Urantia society can never hope to settle down as in ages past. The social ship has steamed out of the sheltered bays of established tradition and has begun its cruise upon the high seas of evolutionary destiny." And up above that it says: "Mankind is on the march toward a new and unrevealed planetary destiny."



On page 1253 we read: "A Most High observer is empowered, at his discretion, to seize the planetary government in times of grave planetary crises, and it is of record that this has happened thirty-three times in the history of Urantia." (Pages 491 and 1906 seem to tell us two of those times.)

And then on page 1497 it tells us that the evolution of our political sovereignty is now in a critical stage. And on page 1488 it reminds us that "Some day civil rulers will learn that the Most Highs rule in the kingdoms of men" — not the kingdom of God — but of men.

So it seems that exciting times are even now at our doorstep.

We haven't yet had a Magisterial Son. On page 594 it tells us that when a Paradise Avonal comes on a first magisterial mission he always is incarnated. He's not born as a babe, like a Bestowal Son, but maybe just appears like Machiventa Melchizadek did.

It's fun to speculate how and where such a Son would appear. Maybe in the United Nations Building?

H.B.H.

# ENJOY!

Some beautiful stamps depicting the Fourth Epochal Revelation.



Attention! Fort Sanders Faith Sons!  
See the back of this page for information about U-Week.



the moderation on the first night. Larry has prepared a modest list of positive and negative traits that may be considered by those preparing for the first U-Week's topic: SELF MASTERY. As follows:

To lose

Fear  
 Hate  
 Anger  
 Anxiety  
 Pride (excessive self-importance)  
 Envy  
 Suspicion  
 Prejudice  
 Resentment  
 Revenge  
 Bigotry  
 Inactivity  
 Isolation

To acquire

Love  
 Understanding  
 Sympathy  
 Compassion  
 Mercy  
 Inner peace  
 Joy  
 Happiness  
 Creativity  
 Loving service to others  
 Organization  
 Discipline

Other items may be added of course. The following pages from the Urantia Book should help for those who wish to study: pp. 317, 598, 599, 618, 630, 806, 914, 927, 975, 976, 977, 998, 999, 1302, 1447, 1609, and 1610. There are probably many others. So dig in, and although these are serious topics, U-Week is intended to be a fun week.

B. Finney

Now let's laugh



"All of our preservative-free foods rotted."



Handelman, Punch

Rothco

"First of all, I want it understood that knights of the Round Table are not prejudiced against dragons per se."

# I am already Perfect – in one phase

Don't hit the ceiling until I explain.

I have reached perfection of purpose.

What is my purpose? my number one priority?

To do the will of God.

In a million years or a billion years I can have no better – no more perfect – purpose than that.

How well I'm now able to achieve that purpose is another thing.

It will take eons before I'm able to do the will of God perfectly.

Meanwhile I'm inching along.

Reading along that line may be found on pages 1295, 1221, and 290.

Helen Hutchinson  
 1638 Highland Ave.

H.A.H.

are things

# BLACK and WHITE ? Or are they gray?

Yes and no.

What a way to answer a question!

On page 1466 the U-Book says that in God's sight there are only two kinds of people — those who know God, and those who don't. Likewise, the celestial beings recognize only two kinds of people — those who want to do God's will, and those who don't. So that's definitely black and white, or good and iniquitous.

But that's in God's sight. And he is not limited by time, so he knows whether we will opt for salvation or not. He already recognizes the tares among the wheat, but he's letting them grow till the harvest.

But we have to look at things through the lens of time. We have error, evil, and struggle to consider. And, as the old poem says:

There's so much good in the worst of us,  
And so much bad in the best of us,  
That it behooves none of us  
To vilify the rest of us!

Take C.S. Lewis, for example. He was one of the most spiritual, God-knowing, insightful, men I've ever known about. Yet for about 15 years, up until age 30, he considered himself an atheist. Actually he was a truth-seeker searching for what is really true. And a sincere truth-seeker will always find truth. You remember "sincerity, more sincerity, and more sincerity is the key to the kingdom of heaven," (435) and on page 1582 Jesus defines sincerity as a pure heart.

But a person looking at C.S. Lewis during his twenties would have considered him on the black side.

Also there ~~are~~ probably are many people who have started their adult lives as Christians because they were raised that way. Then they may get caught up in the fascination of things, money, fame, etc., and reject God.

But you remember the parable of the sower. (1688-91) There will always be some seed falling on good ground that will bring forth fruit, some thirty-fold, some sixty-fold, some a hundred-fold.

So to our limited vision people are not black or white [good or wicked]. They are gray, slowly changing either to black or white.

Maybe we think we know which direction they're going, but it's only speculation. Many people have made dramatic turn-arounds in their lives. [Each of us is on the road to becoming a son of God — or nothing]

So we can — and should — judge actions (1571, 1763), but not the souls of our fellows. That's not our province.



there are only two kinds of people — those who know God and those who don't. Likewise, the celestial beings recognize only two kinds of people — those who want to do God's will, and those who don't. So that's definitely black and white, or good and iniquitous.

But that's in God's sight. And he is not limited by time, so he knows whether we will opt for salvation or not. He already recognizes the tares among the wheat, but he's letting them grow till the harvest.

But we have to look at things through the lens of time. We have error, evil, and struggle to consider. And, as the old poem says:



There's so much good in the worst of us,  
And so much bad in the best of us,  
That it behooves none of us  
To vilify the rest of us!



Take C.S. Lewis, for example. He was one of the most spiritual, God-knowing, insightful, men I've ever known about. Yet for about 15 years, up until age 30, he considered himself an atheist. Actually he was a truth-seeker searching for what is really true. And a sincere truth-seeker will always find truth. You remember "sincerity, more sincerity, and more sincerity is the key to the kingdom of heaven," (435) and on page 1582 Jesus defines sincerity as a pure heart.

But a person looking at C.S. Lewis during his twenties would have considered him on the black side.

Also there ~~are~~ probably are many people who have started their adult lives as Christians because they were raised that way. Then they may get caught up in the fascination of things, money, fame, etc., and reject God.

But you remember the parable of the sower. (1688-91) There will always be some seed falling on good ground that will bring forth fruit, some thirty-fold, some sixty-fold, some a hundred-fold.

So to our limited vision people are not black or white [good or wicked]. They are gray, slowly changing either to black or white.

Maybe we think we know which direction they're going, but it's only speculation. Many people have made dramatic turn-arounds in their lives. [Each of us is on the road to becoming a son of God — or nothing]

So we can — and should — judge actions (1571, 1763), but not the souls of our fellows. That's not our province.



Did you ever think that

**"Thou shall not take the name of the Lord thy God in vain"**

maybe means we can't? If we love him and want to work according to his purpose, taking his name means calling on him for help or worshiping him! If we don't love him and don't want to do his will, using his name can be nothing but blasphemy.

