UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

URANTIA FOUL	NDATION,)					
	Plaintiff,)					
vs.)	No.	civ	91-0325		WKU
KRISTEN MAA	HERRA,)				(5.	,
	Defendant.)					

The deposition of HELEN CARLSON called for examination pursuant to Notice and the Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken before KIMBERLY A. OTTO, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, at 533 Diversey Parkway, Chicago, Illinois, on the 29th day of June, 1994, at the hour of 11:00 a.m.

REPORTED BY: KIMBERLY A. OTTO, CSR

LICENSE NO. 084-003713

MR. OWENS: This is the deposition of Helen Carlson taken in the Urantia Foundation versus Kristen Maaherra action for purposes of preservation of testimony for usoat trial and all other purposes allowed under Federal Rules.

My name is Dale Owens, attorney for the Plaintiff, Urantia Foundation. Mr. Lewis will enter his appearance. Go ahead.

MR. LEWIS: My name is Joseph Lewis; and I'm appearing today on behalf of the Defendant, Kristen Maaherra.

Before continuing, I want to point out that the notice of deposition did indicate that the deposition would be recorded by both stenographic and video means. There is no video camera here today.

Yesterday at about 4:00 p.m. or in the afternoon at some point, I was asked whether I intended to get a copy of the video recording that was to be made. I indicated that it was my intention to get that for purposes of trial preparation.

It was explained to me that there was some concern over the distribution of videotape, and I assured Mr. Owens that I would work with him. I voluntarily agreed to restrictions on distributing a

videotape but that I did want to have a copy for my own use. He was unwilling to proceed with the videotape deposition under those terms, but I'm willing to appear today on behalf of the Defendant.

MR. OWENS: Would you like to introduce him?

MR. LEWIS: With me today are David Cleary who is employed by our law office and the Defendant, Kristen Maaherra.

MR. OWENS: Ms. Carlson, we will ask the court reporter to give you the oath, swear you in. So she will do that now.

(Witness sworn.)

MR. OWENS: Ms. Carlson, just so that everyone understands, I'm going to be asking you questions, then Mr. Lewis will get a chance to ask you questions.

If at any time you think you would like to take a break, just let us know and that will be fine If you don't understand my question or anyone's question and it doesn't come out clearly, by all means ask us to clarify it and I'm sure either of us will be happy to do that.

THE WITNESS: May I ask a question?

MR. OWENS: Yes, please.

THE WITNESS: Will Mr. Lewis interrupt your

questions or will he wait until you have asked all of your questions and will he ask questions then?

MR. OWENS: The usual rule is that we go one at a time. I'll ask my questions, and then he'll get a turn to ask his questions.

THE WITNESS: All right. Just wondering.

MR. OWENS: So we will try to go one at a time.

I will try to wait until you finish giving your answer to ask the question and I'll ask you to wait until I finish giving my question to give the answer because it is very hard on this young lady when two people are talking at the same time.

HELEN CARLSON

called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. OWENS:

- Q. Would you start by telling us your name?
- A. Helen Carlson.
- Q. Where do you live?
- A. 533 Diversey Parkway.
- Q. Is that the building where we are today?
- A. That is.
- Q. Are you familiar with something that has been

called the Urantia Papers?

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Can you tell us when you first became familiar with any of the Urantia Papers?
 - A. 1935.
- Q. How did you come to be aware of the Urantia
 Papers?
- A. Through my sister who was married to Bill Sadler (phonetic), and she became a member of a group that was studying the Papers. Being a sister, she wanted me to know about them. I'm sorry.
 - Q. That's all right.
 - A. Too many memories.
- Q. Did the group that was studying the Papers have a name; what was the name of the group?
- A. Well, when I came I think it was called The Forum. I believe it was a letter that notified me that I was a member of The Forum and could attend the meetings. I think it was addressed to me as a member of The Forum. I think that is what it was called.
- Q. You mentioned that there were meetings. Were there regularly scheduled meetings?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When were they held?

- A. On Sunday afternoon.
- Q. Where were they held?
- A. Here, in this room.
- Q. In this room at 533 Diversey?
- A. Right.
- Q. What time of day were they held?
- A. 2:00 to 4:00 at that time and later we moved -- Let me think a minute. I have been asked that many times. Can I leave it at 2:00 to 4:00 and later at 3:00 to 5:00?
 - Q. That's fine.
- A. Because there was some controversy over people wanting to get here for the meeting and people who wanted to go to church too and come to the meeting, so we had a little difficulty of settling the time.

During the whole twenty years that I knew about The Forum, it was either 2:00 to 4:00 or 3:00 to 5:00.

- Q. Can you tell us about the usual Forum meeting? Starting at the beginning, tell us what would happen in the usual Forum meeting.
- A. Well, the members would get there and an attendance record kept on the wall once we checked in.

 Everybody seemed to -- there were chairs set up in this

room in rows. Everybody seemed to have their favorite place and they would settle down and the meeting would start at the regular time.

One or the other, Doctor or his son,

Bill, would have the Paper. He would read to everyone,
and he would announce the Paper that we were listening
to. From then on he would start reading and would read
until he would read one Paper. After that there would
be questions.

- Q. You mentioned Doctor, is that Dr. William Sadler?
 - A. Yes, Dr. William Sadler.
 - Q. You mentioned Bill, who is that?
 - A. Bill Sadler, Jr., his son.
- Q. When you said "the Paper," what were you referring to?
- A. Well, in the book it is referred to "the Paper." It is the same. It would be a book, a chapter.
- Q. When you say "a book," you're talking about The Urantia Book?
 - A. The Urantia Book.
- Q. Were these the things that we know now as the Urantia Papers?
 - A. These were the Urantia Papers, yes. We know

them as The Urantia Book.

- Q. I would like to ask you about the group of people who would come to these meetings. A minute ago you said that you were given a letter saying you were a member of The Forum?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have to be a member to come to the meetings?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. Was anyone allowed to walk in off the street and participate in meetings?
- A. No. They had to be actually invited, permission given to them to just come in. It was by invitation lots of times.

There may be times in which a friend might have gotten a permission to bring a friend, but it always cleared somebody in the room.

- Q. Can you give us an estimate at any given time how many people would be present in the room in your experience?
- A. Well, all I could do is I know there are 50 chairs. This room would hold 50 folding chairs, and I have seen that full. I have seen others -- I mean, this room, my living room, would take care of an overflow of

people.

- Q. You're talking about a room that is adjacent to this room divided by a sliding door?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Is it bigger or smaller than this room that we're in today?
- A. It is a little smaller; not quite as wide. It reaches back.
 - Q. Was there any -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- A. At that time it was not occupied by a family

 It was still part of the Doctor's offices.
 - Q. The adjacent room?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Were members required to do anything in order to continue to be members?
 - A. No. You mean --
 - Q. What level of participation?
- A. Well, they had to be present, attend 50 percent of the time because we were limited space.
- Q. A minute ago you said that at the beginning of the meeting someone, I think you gave the examples of Dr. Sadler or Bill Sadler, Jr., would begin reading the Paper?
 - A. Right.

- Q. In your experience, would anyone in the room other than the person reading the Paper have a copy of the Paper?
 - A. No.
- Q. I would like to ask you about what you would see in their hands when they were reading. Do you know whether what they were reading from was handwritten or typewritten?
- A. I was told it was typewritten. It was on a yellow sheet of paper; I think the size was 8 and a hal by 11.
- Q. In the course of the reading or the presentation of the Paper, would any information be given about where the Paper came from, who furnished the Paper?
- A. Once in a while I think it would be introduced. It might reveal -- the person that was indicting the Paper would say it was by so and so; but that is about all they could say, only what was in the Paper.
- Q. Are you familiar with what has now been published as The Urantia Book some years ago?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the statements that

appear at the end of each Paper, we are using words similar to what you just described, indicted by or presented?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you compare what you were hearing at those meetings with what you now see as the Papers; were they the same kind of things or different?
 - A. Sure. Yes.

MR. LEWIS: Objection to the form of the question.
BY MR. OWENS:

Q. Let me see if we can clarify that.

The things that would be read that you mentioned a moment ago, can you compare them with what you now see at the end of the Urantia, of each Paper in The Urantia Book?

- A. You mean was it the same as I heard as in the book now?
 - Q. That is what I'm asking.
 - A. Yes, they are the same.
- Q. In ordinary practice, how many Papers would be read at a particular meeting?
 - A. One.
- Q. I believe you mentioned earlier that after the Paper was read, there would be questions?

- A. Right. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe how that would work?
- A. Well, they would write out their questions and we had sometimes a basket, sometimes what was a so-called fishbowl. They would drop their questions on slips of paper into this fishbowl, and they would be collected after the meeting was over by Bill.

From there on he would analyze them categorize them, combine them and present them to the midwayers and wait for the answer.

- Q. Where would this box or where would this fishbowl or basket be placed for people to put their questions into it?
- A. On a table, on a chest of drawers that is out there by the mirror now; but it was where the big table is right now.
- Q. Are you pointing to the foyer next to this room?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Were members of The Forum ever told in meetings that information was given in response to these questions?
- A. Yes. I think it is safe to say they were, but I wouldn't be able to identify them. In discussion,

the answers would be discussed and questions would be discussed.

- Q. When you started participating in The Forum,

 I believe you said you first became aware of the Papers
 in 1935?
 - A. Right.
- Q. When did you start participating in The Forum meetings?
 - A. In September of `35.
- Q. Beginning then and going for as long as it went, can you tell us whether you and the other members were told that the Papers being read were being read in these meetings for the first time as new Papers or bein reread as old Papers or she had any light on that?
- A. Well, I think that just went along with the discussions among the group because you couldn't help but ask is this new or is this something that has been revised.

I'm sure I heard enough of the discussions that the answer would have to be yes. We were aware when they were receiving revisions or new.

- Q. Were you told that on some occasions you were receiving a new Paper?
 - A. Yes, I think we were. That is a hard

question to answer because, sure, we thought they were all new to us at one time.

- Q. Were you ever told about Papers being revised or expanded or divided or altered in any way in subsequent versions?
- A. Yes, I was told about Paper No. 1 being expanded to two papers to five papers.
- Q. Were you given any information about whether there was any relationship between questions being asked at one point and revisions being made in the Papers; dithose two have any connection with each other?
- A. Were questions being asked and revisions of the Papers?
 - Q. Yes, ma'am.

4

- A. Yes. I think we would be informed. Would you state --
- Q. I'll try again. You said the questions were asked and you were also told about revisions. Did you have any information about whether the revisions were made in response to questions?
 - A. Oh, sure. We were told that.
 - Q. That they were made in response to questions
- A. That they were made in response. In fact, you would recognize some of the questions. The

revisions of the new Paper that came in, you could recognize where they were.

Would you like an example?

Q. Certainly.

2

3

5

8

A. Well, I remember one time this was a group that was just meeting on a Saturday evening for just a social gathering and actually every time a group would get together, there was the subject of the Papers -- I mean, this would be Forum members that would get together. The subject of the Papers would come up, and the evening would be spent in questions and answers and discussions.

I remember at that time everybody was puzzled about personality. Somebody asked the question, well, what is personality? In a short time it just came through in a Paper, the definition of personality. That had been in The Urantia Book, and I know that was a direct answer to the question.

- Q. You have described how in the Sunday afternoon meetings there would be a copy of the Paper that would be read to people who were sitting in the room. Did members of The Forum have any other opportunity to actually read the Paper for themselves?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Can you describe how that would work?
- A. Well, they had to call -- of course, they had to be a member of the group. They would just call for a chance to come to read the Paper. They didn't necessarily have to read the Paper, the same Paper that was read on Sunday.

In fact, many of them started right at the beginning beginners started right at the beginning of the Papers that they had and would just one by one read to catch up with the regular meetings of The Forum. I think just to learn more about the Papers.

- Q. Where were the Papers kept?
- A. In a chest of drawers on the third floor.
- Q. The third floor of what building?
- A. 533 Diversey Parkway.

0

- Q. Is that the building we are in today?
- A. That is the building.
- Q. If someone wanted to read Paper No. 1, what would they have to do if a member of The Forum wanted to read Paper No. 1?
- A. They generally call the secretary downstairs, and the secretary would pass the information on to someone. That Paper would be made available when the person got here.

published, were members of The Forum or members of The

- Q. Members did not have to come 50 percent then?
- A. That's right because they were moving out of the -- they were coming and going. They would move, and the membership actually would change from time to time because of the movement of people out of the city and new members -- new people coming in. They weren't really called members of The Forum. They were just members of the study group or Sunday afternoon meetings.
 - Q. They were members of that instead?
- A. Well, it just kind of evolved into less restriction I would guess.
- Q. Were those people allowed to take any Papers out of the building before the book was published?
 - A. No, they weren't.
- Q. Were those people allowed to take any notes about the Papers out of the building before the book was published?
 - A. No.

- Q. Were the members who came to these meetings, the people who came to these meetings given any instructions about whether to talk about the Papers to other people before the book was published?
 - A. They were asked not to talk to anyone other

There is a question I would like to ask you,

just something that I think maybe you might be

22

23

24

A.

interested in knowing.

1 Q. Early 1920s? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you have any other questions before Mr. Lewis asks his questions? 4 5 No, I don't think so. 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. LEWIS: Ms. Carlson, I'm also going to ask you a few 8 Q. 9 questions. If you are unclear as to what I'm asking, 10 please ask me to clarify it. At any time too if you want to take a break, that is fine as well. 11 When you joined The Forum, you said you 12 13 received a letter that notified you. Who sent you that 14 letter? 15 A. Dr. Sadler's secretary. 16 Q. Since you were a relative, Dr. Sadler, of 17 course, knew you before 1935? 18 Since what? Since you were a relative, a family relative, 19 Q. Dr. Sadler knew you before 1935? 20 21 Well, yes. I came to Chicago after my A. 22 husband passed away in `32, so shortly thereafter I met 23 Dr. Sadler. 24 Q. Do you know why he waited until 1935 to

1 I think that was the intent of her answer. 2 BY MR. LEWIS: Can you tell me the number of people that 3 Q. 4 have told you that they were under an oath of secrecy? No, I couldn't. I don't know the answer to 5 A. 6 that. I didn't keep a record of anything like that. 7 Well, do you recall any of them? 8 Oh, jokingly I think my brother-in-law might Α. 9 have mentioned something like that at one time or other. 10 Did they tell you why they were not permitted Q. 11 to tell you information? 12 A. Well, it was discussed in The Forum itself why and it is also discussed in the book that people are 13 14 quite prone to get egotistical about what they know. 15 it is better that they don't know a lot of things, and 16 that was the way it was. 17 They just said even in the Papers will 18 admit that they know things that they can't tell. Have you taken an oath of secrecy regarding 19 Q. 20 information dealing with the Urantia Papers? 21 I did not have to take an oath, but I was 22 asked not to talk to anybody other than the people in 23 The Forum. 24 At the time the book was published publicly Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q.

that had come in the meantime?

Then were they permitted to read any Papers

Did they attend The Forum meetings if they

were in town during the time of the meetings?

0.

meetings?

A. Oh, sure. You're using the word "left."

They didn't actually leave The Forum. They just went out of town, moved. So they were always -- once a member, they were always a member unless they chose to resign.

people who had left The Forum attend any of The Forum

- Q. When the Papers were read at The Forum, were they read straight through without questions or comments?
- A. In the beginning they were because they found that the questions might be answered in the next paragraph. So to save time, they were asked not to ask questions or requested not to ask questions until they had heard the full Paper.
- Q. During the reading of Papers, was there ever a statement that this Paper was in response to any question raised by a Forum member?
- A. Yes. I think that was discussed many times.

 Any time that they found something new in a Paper, they

 even went back and tried to trace it to see where it was

Who submitted it?

24

Q.

1	A. Well, I for one did. I don't know how many
2	others did.
3	Q. About how long after you submitted it did you
4	receive an answer?
5	A. Oh, I guess in a week or a couple weeks.
6	Q. You talked about the group of 70 and relating
7	that to teachers and leaders I think you said?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Did some teachers come out of that group?
10	A. Well, not that particular group while that
11	is, generally speaking, teachers and leaders. We always
12	spoke of people studying the Papers would become
13	teachers and teacher/leaders. But there were no
14	so-called teacher/leaders out of The 70. They
15	eventually came through as leaders or teachers and are
16	teachers and leaders today, but they weren't certified
17	or anything like that.
18	Q. Well, at one time they were certified,
19	weren't they?
20	A. You mean The 70?
21	Q. Well, teachers and leaders?
22	A. That was after the book was published.
23	Q. You were involved with that, weren't you,
24	personally?

said, released for publication.

	i	
1	Ω.	Do you know what the case is about in which
2	you're tes	tifying today?
3	A.	Yes and no.
4	٥.	When did you first find out that this case
5	was pendin	g?
6	Α.	A couple of years ago.
7	Q.	How did you find out about it?
8	A.	Well, I live here. You just happen to hear
9	about it.	
10	Q.	Do you have a written lease or something with
11	the Founda	tion regarding your living arrangements?
12	A.	Do I have a written release?
13	Q.	A lease with the Urantia Foundation regarding
14	your reside	ency here.
15	A.	A lease regarding my residency?
16	Q.	Your apartment here.
17	A.	No, I don't have a lease.
18	Q.	Do you know why they permit you to live here?
19	A.	Yes, I do.
20	Q.	What is the reason?
21	A.	Well, my sister lived here and her family and
22	she could	live here as long as she lived and they passed
23	that on to	me.
24	Q.	As best as you can express it, what is your

1	understanding of what the present case is about?
2	A. Well, I understand it is about the copyright.
3	Q. Are you aware of a study aid that was
4	prepared by Kristen Maaherra?
5	A. No, I'm not.
6	Q. Do you know why the copyright is at issue in
7	this case?
8	A. Only from what they have told me recently.
9	Q. At the time you were in The Forum, was there
10	any discussion of copyrights?
11	A. Yes. When the book was published, it was
12	discussed quite thoroughly.
13	Q. Was that at a Forum meeting?
14	A. At a Forum at the end of The Forum
15	meeting.
16	Q. What was the nature of the discussion?
17	A. The progress being made.
18	Q. If I use the term "unseen friends," does that
19	have any meaning to you?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. What does that mean to you?
22	A. It means that the planet is alive with
23	helpers, midway creatures, people not of this world,
24	permanent citizens of the planet, plus many other

1	Bible divine.		
2	Q.	Why is that?	
3	Α.	Because it isn't.	
4	Q.	At the time of The Forum meetings, were there	
5	any religi	ous ceremonies held?	
6	A.	No.	
7	Q.	How about in the same room that we are in	
8	now?		
9	A.	Any what?	
10	Q.	The same room that we are in today, religious	
11	ceremonies	?	
12	A.	Well, the only thing we do is celebrate	
13	Jesus' bir	thday which is not a religious celebration.	
14	Q.	What about weddings; wasn't there a wedding	
15	here too?		
16	A.	Oh, yes. There was a wedding, but that is	
17	not religi	ous.	
18	Q.	Well, Judith and Charles Sadler got married	
19	in this ro	om?	
20	A.	Sure.	
21	Q.	Wasn't it planned to be a Urantia ceremony	
22	initially?		
23	A.	Well, they wanted it to be just like an	
24	ordinary w	edding like you would have with a judge or	

1	to the Foundation once, and it was denied to reprint.
2	The thing just was tabled and has never come up again at
3	any time.
4	Q. All of the words that appear in the Urantia
5	Papers, they were written by the unseen friends; isn't
6	that true?
7	A. Yes, they were unseen. Yes. I think you
8	could say that they were all written by them. Sure,
9	they were.
10	Q. The Forum members did not write any of the
11	text of the Urantia Papers, correct?
12	A. Not as they are presented in the book, but
13	they contributed to them.
14	Q. The nature of the contribution was the
15	questions that were asked?
16	A. The questions that were asked.
17	Are these questions relevant?
18	MR. OWENS: Yes, ma'am. They are allowed to ask a
19	variety of questions.
20	BY MR. LEWIS:
21	Q. You testified that Bill Sadler read a number
22	of the Papers at The Forum meetings?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. You're familiar with his voice?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. I would like to play a tape for you that has
3	been previously identified in this case.
4	THE WITNESS: Is that permissible?
5	MR. OWENS: I think what he is going to do is just
6	ask you if you recognize the voice.
7	BY MR. LEWIS:
8	Q. That's correct. This is Bud Kagan Exhibit
9	No. 1. It is side one.
10	(Whereupon, the following
11	proceedings were transcribed from a
12	tape-recorded proceeding.)
13	He is completing his present break.
14	First he escapes from the fatality of infinity by moving
15	the yolk away from the egg and by reunifying the yolk
16	with the white through the universal absolute
17	(Whereupon, were all the proceedings
18	had in the above tape-recorded
19	proceeding.)
20	A. That is Bill's voice. He said it right in
21	this room.
22	Q. Does the tape bring back memories for you?
23	A. Yes. It sure does.
24	Q. We would be happy to supply you with copies

1	if you wou	ld like.
2	A.	I think we have copies everywhere. I think
3	somebody h	as it.
4	Q.	Prior to today have you ever met the
5	Defendant,	Kristen Maaherra?
6	A.	No, I haven't.
7	Q.	And you have not met myself?
8	A.	No, I haven't.
9	Q.	How about Mr. Owens, have you met him prior
10	to today?	
11	A.	Yes, I have.
12	Q.	When was the first time you met Mr. Owens?
13	Α.	I don't remember the day.
14	Q.	You said you knew about the case for a couple
15	of years.	When you first learned about the case, did
16	you talk t	o any attorneys at that time?
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	When did you first learn that you might be
19	asked to to	estify in this case?
20	A.	Maybe six months ago.
21	Q.	Who mentioned that to you?
22	A.	My niece.
23	Q.	Who is that?
24	Α.	Patricia Mundelius.

1 Q. Did she tell you what you might be asked to 2 testify about? We just discussed the problem. 3 A. How did she describe the problem to you? Q. 4 I'm afraid to answer that question. 5 Well, let me ask you to put it as best you 6 0. 7 Of course we understand that nobody likes court cases, but how did she describe it to you? 8 9 Well, she just described it as it was 10 something that they had to tend to and get as much 11 information together as she could. But describing the 12 case, I don't know how she would have described it. 13 think she finally did tell me about -- just review the 14 problem of the copyright. 15 As you studied The Urantia Book, was there ever a time when a particular passage came to mind and 16 17 you wanted to find it in the book? 18 A. Oh, sure. 19 How did you find it? Q. 20 Oh, I used the index and my memory. A. 21 What index are you referring to? Q. 22 A. In the book. 23 Q. When you say --

By subject.

24

A.

1	MS. MAAHERRA: Table of contents?
2	BY MR. LEWIS:
3	Q. By index, are you referring to the table of
4	contents at the beginning of the book?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. It was said a comprehensive index was being
7	prepared, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. That index was not for many years?
10	A. That's right.
11	Q. Did you work on that index at any time?
12	A. No, I did not.
13	Q. Did you ever discuss this case with Martin
14	Meyers (phonetic)?
15	A. No, I didn't. No, I didn't know about it
16	then. I think I had heard the name Meyers, but I never
17	pursued anything like that. I truly wasn't interested
18	in it too much.
19	Q. You mentioned attendance records at The Forum
20	meetings, was any permanent record of those kept?
21	A. I don't know whether the brotherhood kept
22	them or not or the first society. I don't think a
23	complete record was kept.
24	Q. Do you have any present official relationship

MR. OWENS: I have no other questions. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. OWENS: We will reserve signature. (FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.) sealed on laugust 12, 1994 OFFICIAL SEAL SHEILA M. SCHNEIDER NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS

The following changes were made by me to the transcription of my deposition of June 29, 1994.

Page 21, line 9 should read:

"They were told they could take their books home,"

Page 28, line 4 should read:

"Yes, not of this realm."

Page 46, line 16 should read:

"I think I had heard the name Maaherra, but I never"

Calen

Helen Carlson

Signed and sealed by Sheila M. Schneider on August 12, 1994.

"OFFICIAL SEAL"
SHEILA M. SCHNEIDER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 11/19/97

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS 2) SS: COUNTY OF C O O K 3 4 I, KIMBERLY A. OTTO, C.S.R. and Notary Public 5 within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, 6 do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the 29th 7 day of June, 1994, personally appeared before me at 533 8 Diversey Parkway, Chicago, Illinois, HELEN CARLSON, in a 9 cause now pending and undetermined in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, wherein URANTIA FOUNDATION is 10 11 the Plaintiff and KRISTEN MAAHERRA is the Defendant. 12 I further certify that the said witness was first 13 duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and 14 nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the 15 testimony then given by said witness was reported 16 stenographically by me in the presence of the said 17 witness and afterwards reduced to typewriting by 18 computer-aided transcription, and the foregoing is a 19 true and correct transcript of the testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 20 21 I further certify that the signature to the

I further certify that the signature to the foregoing deposition was reserved by counsel for the respective parties.

22

23

24

I further certify that the taking of this

deposition was pursuant to Notice, and that there were present at the deposition the attorneys hereinbefore mentioned. I further certify that I am not counsel for, nor am I in any way related to the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF: I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 13th day of July, 1994. " OFFICIAL SEAL " KIMBERLY A. OTTO NOTARY PUBLIC. STATE OF ILLINOIS MY COMMISSION EXPIRES \$/27/96 C.S.R., NOTARY PUBLIC, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Record Excerpt of Appellant Urantia Foundation Docket # 174

DEPOSITION OF HELEN CARLSON

June 29, 1994 (Filed November 14, 1994)

The deposition of HELEN CARLSON called for examination pursuant to Notice and the Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken before KIMBERLY A. OTTO, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, at 533 Diversey Parkway, Chicago, Illinois, on the 29th day of June, 1994, at the hour of 11:00 a.m.

REPORTED BY: KIMBERLY A. OTTO, CSR LICENSE NO. 084-003713 McCORRLE COURT REPORTERS, INC. CHICAGO, ILLINOIS - (312) 263-0052

MR. OWENS: This is the deposition of Helen Carlson taken in the Urantia Foundation versus Kristen Maaherra action for purposes of preservation of testimony for us at trial and all other purposes allowed under Federal Rules.

My name is Dale Owens, attorney for the Plaintiff, Urantia Foundation. Mr. Lewis will enter his appearance. Go ahead.

MR. LEWIS: My name is Joseph Lewis; and I'm appearing today on behalf of the Defendant, Kristen Maaherra.

Before continuing, I want to point out that the notice of deposition did indicate that the deposition would be recorded by both stenographic and video means. There is no video camera here today.

Yesterday at about 4:00 p.m. or in the afternoon at some point, I was asked whether I intended to get a copy of the video recording that was to be made. I indicated that it was my intention to get that for purposes of trial preparation.

It was explained to me that there was some concern over the distribution of the videotape, and I assured Mr. Owens that I would work with him. I voluntarily agreed to restrictions on distributing a videotape but that I did want to have a copy for my own use. He was unwilling to proceed with the videotape deposition under those terms, but I'm willing to appear today on behalf of the Defendant.

MR. OWENS: Would you like to introduce him?

MR. LEWIS: With me today are David Cleary who is employed by our law office and the Defendant, Kristen Maaherra.