# NOTES ON A COMMUNICATION RECEIVED IN 1945

## RESPONSIBILITY

Full responsibility for peace rests upon the English-speaking nations. They had the mastery after 1915, but didn't recognize and accept the call to duty. England and the United States turned their backs on the high privilege of planetary service. They wanted peace, prosperity and national security; they got depression, unemployment, insecurity, and in twenty years another war. The United States increased its trials and tribulations a million fold by trying to escape its responsibility.

Between the two wars nothing was done to prepare the children and the youth of the nation for their coming responsibility --- their part to play in world progress --- and many of them refused to grow up. Why did Denocracy decline so rapidly between the two wars? The leaders in England, France and America were short-sized. Many couldn't see beyond their own personal interests. These men sometimes performed against public sentiment; but even when replaced by others, the new ones behaved likewise. There was paucity of leadership and indifference to liberty. They saw Mussolini, Hitler and Tojo XXXXXX arming, but didn't tare it seriously. Democracy was not willing to fight. At various times an army of from 5,000 to 25,000 men could have prevented this war. The Democracies were unwilling and totally unorganized. They hated war and longed for perce --- but could you run a business or even managera home so chortsightedly? The cowardly leaders of De ocracy have set the clock of civilization back 2000 years. But we have a chance to start afresh. Today there is little Denocrace to defend, and we can built a new world order from the ground up; but we murt reject the policy of nonresistance. You cantus be as brotherly as you would like to be with an unbrother! brother.

### FREEDO:

The real proble in: Han wants libert, and libert, with equality; but equality never has emisted. Freedom is an ideal. It doesn't emist.

Evolution can have freedom only with compulsion. We must restrain by compulsion. This is true of the individual, compinity, state, nation and in family life. There is no sense to the doctrine of freedom that rives citizens the right to co but and destroy the freedoms that Democracy gives. There is no peace on earth with the license to destroy the liberty and sovereignty of other peoples. No nation can exist on a level above law. Freedom is fostered by human liberalism and Christianity. What is liberalism in one generation is conservatism in the next. Liberalism has become degratic and Democracy has ceased to grow. Democracy ceased to keep pace with industrial progress. Self-government is slowly consisting suicide.

Freedom sust be ever militant and must unhesitatingly destroy whatever assails freedom, and be intolerant concerning things undemocratic. There should be no liberty of speech for those who would destroy liberty of speech. The tools of freedom must not function in a suicidal capacity. There must be no liberty to vote in the freedoms of Democracy to destroy the right to vote.

#### DETOGRICI

Democracy today is being destroyed by those who wish to use Democracy. Democracy is not a club to join and forever enjoy its protection. As long as Democracy is basic freedoms exist, it is a Democracy.

The right view of Democracy is a geographic group of people having a common ideal. To allow Germans to live here and retain their German citizenship is like letting the wolves live with the sheep. Offices must be held by citizens trained in schools of statesmanship. These schools must be established.

# NATIONALISM

The nations are suffering from intense industrialism and augmented nationalism. In times past Nationalism was a good thing; it brought people together in a common interest, within law and representative government. But when a social ideal becomes a political dogma, it becomes an obstacle to world progress. Nationalism becomes a relic of patriotism which no forward-looking prophet would dare touch.

Nationalism persists because it has not been attacked by modern and intelligent citizens who do not seek to disrupt, but to save it by intelligent control. Present day Nationalism is akin to polytheism. Nation and race became the pagan god of many modern people. The time has come to give way before a monotheistic policy of Internationalism. The churches must divorce themselves from Nationalism.

# INTERNATIONALISM

Internationalism will not be created by pacts, treaties, appeasements, etc. It will be created by force of arms. It is the only way for the next 1000 years of civilization's evolution. International Legislatures must have the international laws, an International Court must interpret the laws, an International Tolice must enforce the laws. Lesser national must be forced into the international union.

Not in centuries has the Caristian world had the opportunity to establish be isnal internationalism. Now, following total war, is the time to establish international government. Socialism, Communism and other world now which have host their influence. Now there just be coercive has with sure penalties attached to its violation. Whe god of Matichalism struck at the Riberty of Democracy and at the Caristian religion.

Hatimalian reached the beginning of the end when ou could crosu the ocean in him hours and it took six weeks to get a visa. Recalcitions and selfing pationalism sust be made to accept Internationalism. It won't remet it 25 years from now.

There are only two realities in the world --- the individual and the human race. The common does not recognize caste, tribe, race or nation. National wars will end when you recognize that nations is not the final roal of human evolution.

#### SCVERMIG MY

Mo single idea has wrought so much miser, as that delusive concept of sovereighty. Sovereighty passed from hing to nation; a political dorma, for so in the people wielded sovereighty much as hings did. Today millions are dying and millions more will starve to the national idea of sovereighty. Sovereighty reached its height in the French Revolution, and its deathbed in this war. Military victors have a chance to recurrect it in a modified form. May it be a democratic modification.

Enormous vested interests are involved in the worship of the Golden Calf of sovereighty which results in the economic dislocation. What will be the effect upon our returning soldiers? National matters should be handled by national sovereight; and international affairs be handled by international sovereighty.

# PEACE

Peace will become the watch care of international government. When lawless minorities <u>learn that</u>, then peace will prevail. Appeasement and moral-compromise are the habits of peace-loving and self-loving Nationalists. The present conflict resulted when nations wanted peace at any price. The theory of Democracy validates the concept of equality - but the idea of equality produces conflicts which only courts can settle, unless the victors establish international overcontrol for those lesser peoples who are hungry for freedom and self-government.

The dream of equality is fiction. It is not nationally or individually true. Such a dream can only be realized before God or before a court. Man acquires citizenship equality before the law; before a supercourt all nations are equal. You cannot have equality among trends or creations without law; and law without the coercive power of enforcement is a tragic farce. Equality without law means war. Without super-law you

can only have peace when the weaker submit to the stronger.

Any hope for world peace without coercive international law is a furtive dream. Mandatory law is law enforced by unquestioned force. Peace is the reign of law. Law is the just use of force by unquestioned authority. There can't be lasting peace without force. To make peace we must wage legal war. There is a difference between the outlaw who kills a man and the sheriff who kills the outlaw for his crime. The sheriff is not a murderer - he is a peace officer.

Hon-intervention and appeasements are like the old monarchs! "gentlemen's agreements". Each agrees to let the other carry out his nefar-

ious schenes.

Wer is the major factor in the non-spiritual history of the human race. At the present tile the most advanced peoples look upon it as an unnecessary evil. It is the first time in history that world-wide peace has been talked of. Wars have been a increasingly more terrible in the last 150 years, and for the last 100 years no government has been able to get the full support of its people to a war policy. Leaders start wars on the theory that they are going to be, or have been, attacked. If the majority want to abolish war, why don't they do it? If war is an expression of human enotions like crime, it won't be abolished. But civilized people have abolished individual crime. Another view: in the case of a criminal committing murder you quickly distinguish between the criminal and the sheriff who shoots him. Each from a biological viewpoint is guilty, but not from the social and moral viewpoints. There are two kinds of war: (1) social criminal aggression, (2) legal group military sanctions.

An International Government can (a) reduce war to a minimum just as courts function to minimize crime, (b) render war a legal action on the part of authorized groups for the peace, safety and security of all mankind. War can be and must be legalized, minimized and humanized. The use of poison gas, submarines and aerial bombing of vivilian populations can be prevented. The International Police will be known as Peace Armies. You cannot maintain rules of warfare when armies are conscripted and nations are fighting for sovereignty. You can't have international

law without international power to enforace the law.

Disarmament on the part of great nations such as the peace-loving people of the United States is a direct contribution to war. If the English-speaking peoples remain intelligent and fully armed, they will constitute the Peace Arm until the regional and international courts can be established. Equal quality of arms is a delusion. What mayor of

afrest city would allow criminals to carry guns? Arm well the police but prevent the criminal element from getting arms. Long have well-meaning but short sided pacifists proclaimed, "You can't have peace by waging war." That is exactly what you can do, and will have to do. for the next millenium.

Legal war is the act of the legal authority of the International Government - action in response to law. Legal war is designed to maintain peace, not to take loot or seek revenge. It is waged by order of the legal authority of International Government for safe-guarding the peace of nations. There are two kinds of fighting, and any nation that fights an illegal war will fight a hopeless one. The time has come for nations to be governed by law and not by political ambition, personal whims and directives, rampant nationalism, fanatical dictators or the delusion of sovereignty. Modern science has ended the day of the international frontiersman. The forces of civilization have arrive on the international frontier.

The dogma of non-intervention is the most uncivilized and inconsistent ever held by modern nations. What would you think of a policeman who would refuse to help a fellow-officer who was engaged in a struggle with a thug? And it is just such strange and inhuran conduct on the part of America and other world powers which enables unscrupulous dictators to become rulers in other parts of the world. Non-intervention is the remant of the "gentlemen's agreement" of the olden rulers which was just an agree ent between monarchs not to interfere in each others! wers.

Today's advances in science, industry, commerce, communication, etc. render the dogma of neutrality inconsistent. This neutrality makes possible (1) inconsistency of policies, (2) corrupt press, (3) fomenting of strikes, disorganization, disunity, divide and conquer.

Six or eight years ago the American nation began to watch a European nation stop making automobiles and turn to making airplanes, conscript its citizens, make over its schools, ration its food, tax and limit incomes. They were 2000 miles away so America refused to be concerned; now we're doing those some things whether we like it or not. The world can't go on half free and half slave; it must be either all free or all slave. There are still thousands of Americans who don't see things as they really are. They long for the day when it will be over and they can return to their old ways. They are Isolationists at heart, ready to disarn and sinh their navy again. They learned very little from the last world war (World War I) wherein the naval disaster (disarming) was far more costly than the loss at Pearl Harbor.

If one great nation allows treaties to be counted as scraps of paper, then all great nations are invalidated. Today if one goes off the gold standard, they all go off, because each is so interdependent. The world is so economically interdependent that the price of commodities must be determined by the producer operating under the least favorable conditions. Which means, in competition, that the standard of living starts on a downward spiral. Tariffs tend to make barriers, but you pay a price for them. This downward spiral affects the standard of living, depth of culture, extent of education, labor conditions, personal liberty, taxation, tariff, exports and imports, defense policies and moral standards. The nation living under the least favorable condition and lowest cultural standard will become the determining influence over all other peoples.

The only hope of the survival of the American standards of living is to share then as far as possible with other peoples. If one powerful nation is deficient in morality, it costs us much more to keep

ours up. The mation that would selfishly save its own high standards is destined to lose them. In any circumscribed area of the world it will be discovered, in the long run, that the existing government will be compelled to gravitate gradually down to the level of the lowest and most primitive that is allowed to exist. One criminal and inferior abroad in a community can corrupt a score of well-meaning youths. In self-defense the Democracies must export life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Whoever heard of neutrality between right and wrong? How can you be neutral in the struggle between good and evil? In any ideal struggle neutrality is suicidal. Neutrality means the enemy is free to pick you off one at a time. In local government a sheriff when hard-pressed by lawlessness can deputize any number of citizens, but such a plan won't work on a national scale. Such results can only be gotten by in-

ternationalism.

Honest men cannot have confidential dealings with rascals. Honest nations keep their word and live up to their treaties. The honest administration does not make treaties with a dishonest neighbor. If war is to be outlawed, the farce of heutrality must end. It is immoral and cowardly for a group of law-abiding citizens to stand idly by and see a fellow citizen being held up, beaten and robbed; and such moral indifference is suicidal to a nation. What can be said of Chrisitian nations that stand by complacently while world gangsters strafe and plunder the civilized world, murdering and starving little children? May it never happen again!

The French Revolution reached the lighest point in the struggle for personal liberty, the American Revolution in the struggle for national independence. A grave mistake was made when the spirit of independence was concected into a formula of self-determination; and it was an inerican president who perpetruted this blunder when only fifth years previously a Civil War was fought to prevent the right of self-determination. Little nations can have no more self-determination

than each state of the Union can have complete sovereignty.

Each state is sovereing in all asttors of state but in national affairs the Federal Government is sovereign, and you can only have neace predicated on law. The idea of every little nation having the right of self-letermination only shells industrial garakesis and social hell. Internationalism is detrimental to national intrigue.

Mou can't postulate independence without encountering interdependence, and nine out of ten nations can't have economic independence without enormously lowering their standard of living. The United States and Ressia - the two most nowerful nations in the world - are not economically independent. Humans are born wholly dependent. Each child seeks independence but he learns, as he grows older, that he is interdependent. The concept of complete independence is fallacious. In life there is dependence, independence and then intelligent interdependence.

The complete independence of self-determination which was accorded shall nations after the first world war did not afford them security. They were uneasy, suspicious and tormented by feelings of insecurity. Both individuals and nations must learn the lessons of interdependence. The attempt at complete economic independence leads to over-production, disorderly distribution, unemployment, economic depression. Neither a nation nor a small group of nations can hope to enjoy complete and independent economic freedom.

In the spiritual world "no man liveth unto himself alone." Neither can a nation continue to live to itself. A state that strives for economic freedom will gravitate certainly and swiftly to a tetalitarian state. Fascism and Maziism is the result of striving for economic freedom - freedom without restraint, liberty without compulsion. Honesty in business and altruism in government pays high dividends.

We must relinquish national sovereignty and enter into international sovereignty. Think how much states are free when they don't have to concern themselves with things Federal. So would nations be more free with an International Government to attend to global affairs. It will be too late to undertake it after the war is over. Says the Declaration of Independence: "Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these endés, life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, it is the right of the people to alter, abolish, or institute new government, laying its foundations on such principles, and organizing its powers in such form as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness."

Today the nations of the earth are most unsafe and most unhappy. Nationalism is on its deathbed. Unlimited sovereignty is moribund. The time has come for the birth of Internationalism. Let the sick nations follow the wise counsel of the fathers of American independence. Will you humble your nationalism enough to adopt a same internationalism?

Dictators proclaim "Might is Right." Denocracies believe Right is Might. The world is suffering from these errors or relative truths. Spiritual causes cannot employ physical force in their interests, but material causes depend on physical force for their survival. It is perfectly proper to found a church on the ideas of the League of Nations of World War I. When the League of Mations didn't apply force, it committed suicide. War is a legal reality. When not used in the support of law, it will be used in the contravention of law and against economic justice. How silly to let international gangsters and criminals dominate the world!

Divorce force from the service of aggression and attach it to the law of jestice. Our slogan sould be "Right predicated on Might." Educated zealous crusaders to toil for the new order. Democracy has too many apostles and not enough crusaders. When two nations are in trouble with each other, neither one can judge their aggressions. That is the job of an International Court. Aggression is good when directed against injustice. Hitler made his first aggression when he proclaimed, "Right is whatever is in the interest of the German folk." That's the time we should have struck. Judgment is the function of a

Democracy cannot be static. Peace is dynamic. When the law-abiding citizen is static, then the outlaw is dynamic. As we exist today we can only exist by waging war - war that is waged in the interest of international law. War can only be prevented by the action of International Police ready to act instantly. Failure to provide for International Police provides for international banditry. When the first international military force moves against the first one who dares to against international law, then we'll have peace.

Democracies were used to seeing local gangsters committing crime, but were shocked to see them at the heads of governments. We dreeded law with force. Now we have a world with force without law.

From Nank K 3.19.89

tomost the state of the coverage of the coverage pays but a content of the content of We must relinquish netional sovereignty and enter into inter-